hep-th/9908205 TS-TH-99-1 August 1999

$$10 = 6 + 4$$

Frank D. (Tony) Smith, Jr.

e-mail: tsmith@innerx.net P. O. Box 370, Cartersville, GA 30120 USA WWW URL: http://www.innerx.net/personal/tsmith/TShome.html

Abstract

Some physics models have 10 dimensions that are usually decomposed into:

4 spacetime dimensions with local Lorentz Spin(1,3) symmetry plus

a 6-dimensional compact space related to internal symmetries.

A possibly useful alternative decomposition is into: 6 spacetime dimensions with local Conformal symmetry of the Conformal Group C(1,3) = Spin(2,4) = SU(2,2)plus a 4-dimensional compact Internal Symmetry Space that can be taken to be complex projective 2-space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ which, since $\mathbb{C}P^2 = SU(3)/U(2)$, is a natural representation space for SU(3)and on which $U(2) = SU(2) \times U(1)$ can be represented naturally by local action.

Contents

1	Decomposition of 10 Dimensions		
	1.1	6-Dimensional Conformal spacetime	2
	1.2	4-Dimensional Internal Symmetry Space	3
2	Superstrings, Dixon, and D4-D5-E6-E7		
	-	Superstrings	4
	2.2	Geoffrey Dixon's Division Algebra model	4
	2.3	the D4-D5-E6-E7 model \ldots	5
3	Ack	nowledgements	6

1 Decomposition of 10 Dimensions

Some physics models have 10 dimensions that are usually decomposed into:

4 spacetime dimensions with local Lorentz Spin(1,3) symmetry

plus

a 6-dimensional compact space related to internal symmetries.

A possibly useful alternative decomposition is into:

6 spacetime dimensions with local C(1,3) = Spin(2,4) = SU(2,2) Conformal symmetry.

plus

a 4-dimensional compact Internal Symmetry Space.

1.1 6-Dimensional Conformal spacetime

Conformal symmetries and some of their physical applications are described in the book of Barut and Raczka [1].

The Conformal group C(1,3) of Minkowski spacetime is the group SU(2,2) = Spin(2,4). As Spin(2,4), the Conformal group acts on a 6-dimensional (2,4)-space that is related to the 6-dimensional $\mathbb{C}P^3$ space of Penrose twistors [2].

It is reasonable to consider the 6-dimensional Conformal space as the spacetime in the dimensional decomposition of 10-dimensional models because Conformal symmetry is consistent with such physics structures as:

Maxwell's equations of electromagnetism;

the quantum theoretical hydrogen atom;

the canonical Dirac Lagrangian for massive fermions, as shown by Liu, Ma, and Hou [3];

gravity derived from the Conformal group using the MacDowell-Mansouri mechanism, as described by Mohapatra [4];

the Lie Sphere geometry of spacetime correlations; and

the Conformal physics model of I. E. Segal [5].

1.2 4-Dimensional Internal Symmetry Space

An example of a possibly useful 4-dimensional compact Internal Symmetry Space is complex projective 2-space $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Since $\mathbb{C}P^2 = SU(3)/U(2)$, it is a natural representation space for SU(3).

Further, $U(2) = SU(2) \times U(1)$ can be represented naturally on $\mathbb{C}P^2 = SU(3)/U(2)$ as a local action.

Therefore, all three of the gauge groups of the Standard Model $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ can be represented on the 4-dimensional compact Internal Symmetry Space $\mathbb{C}P^2 = SU(3)/U(2)$.

The following section lists some examples of physics models that have such 10-dimensional spaces: Superstring theory; the Division Algebra model of Geoffrey Dixon; and the $D_4 - D_5 - E_6 - E_7$ physics model.

2 Superstrings, Dixon, and D4-D5-E6-E7

2.1 Superstrings

The 10-dimensional space of Superstring theory is well known, and described in many references, so I will not try to summarize it here. One particularly current and thorough reference is the 2-volume work of Polchinski [6].

2.2 Geoffrey Dixon's Division Algebra model

Geoffrey Dixon, in his publications and website [7], considers the real division algebras: the real numbers **R**; the complex numbers **C**; the quaternions **Q**; and the octonions **O**.

Dixon then forms the tensor product $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R} \otimes \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ and considers the 64-real-dimensional space \mathbf{T} .

Then Dixon takes the left-adjoint actions $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{L}} \otimes \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{L}}$, and notes that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{L}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}(16) = Cl(0, 9) = \mathbf{C} \otimes Cl(0, 8)$.

Then Dixon considers the algebra \mathbf{T} to be the spinor space of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{L}}$.

Then Dixon forms a matrix algebra $\mathbf{T}_L(2)$ as the 2 × 2 matrices whose elements are in the left-action adjoint matrix algebra \mathbf{T}_L and notes that $\mathbf{T}_L(2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}(32) = \mathbf{C} \otimes Cl(1,9)$.

Dixon describes the matrices $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{2})$ as having spinor space $\mathbf{T} \oplus \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{C} \otimes Cl(1,9)$ as the Dirac algebra of 10-dimensional (1,9)-space.

Dixon then describes leptons and quarks in terms of reduction of the Dirac spinors of the 10-dimensional (1,9)-space to the Dirac spinors of a

4-dimensional (1,3)-spacetime.

The right-action adjoint matrix algebra $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is not the same as the leftaction adjoint $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{L}}$, because, although $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{L}}$ and $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{L}}$, it is a fact that $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{R}} \neq \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{L}}$ (they are isomorphic but not identical).

Since $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{Q}$, the part of the matrix algebra $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$ that differs from $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{L}}$ is just \mathbf{Q} , and the different part of the 2 × 2 matrix algebra $\mathbf{T}_{R}(2)$ is just the 2 × 2 matrix algebra with quaternion entries $\mathbf{Q}(2)$.

In section 6.7 of his book [7], Dixon shows that commutator closure of the set of traceless 2×2 matrices over the quaternions \mathbf{Q} , which he denotes by $sl(2, \mathbf{Q})$, is the Lie algebra of Spin(1, 5).

Since the Lie algebra Spin(1,5) is just the Lie algebra of the Conformal group C(1,3) = Spin(2,4) = SU(2,2) with a different signature,

I conjecture that it might be useful to consider the spacetime part of Dixon's 10-dimensional (1,9)-space to be the 6-dimensional (1,5)-spacetime of Spin(1,5).

That would leave a 4-dimensional (0,4)-space to be used as an Internal Symmetry Space.

2.3 the D4-D5-E6-E7 model

The D_5 Lie algebra of the $D_4 - D_5 - E_6 - E_7$ physics model corresponds (with Conformal signature) to the Lie algebra Spin(2,8) of the Clifford algebra Cl(2,8) whose vector space is 10-dimensional.

As the $D_4 - D_5 - E_6 - E_7$ physics model is described on the web [8], I will not try to summarize it here.

3 Acknowledgements

The idea of 6-dimensional spacetime with Conformal symmetry was motivated by the works of I. E. Segal [5] and by e-mail conversations with Robert Neil Boyd.

The idea of 4-dimensional Internal Symmetry Space was motivated by Cayley calibrations of octonions [9] and by e-mail conversations with Matti Pitkanen.

References

- [1] Barut and Raczka, *Theory of Group Representations and Applications*, World (1986), particularly chapters 13 and 21
- [2] Penrose and Rindler, Spinors and spacetime, 2 Volumes, Cambridge (1984, 1986, 1988)
- Liu, Ma. and Hou, hep-th/9907009 , at URL http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9907009
- [4] R. Mohapatra, Unification and Supersymmetry, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag (1992), particularly section 14.6
- [5] I. E. Segal, Mathematical Cosmology and Extragalactic Astronomy, Academic Press (1976);
 Duke Math. J. 18 (1951) 221-265;
 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 75 (1978) 1609-1611;
 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 78 (1981) 5261-5265; and
 some obituaries about I. E. Segal in Notices of the AMS 46 (June/July 1999) 659-668
- [6] J. Polchinski, String Theory, 2 Volumes, Cambridge (1998), and web material at URL http://www.itp.ucsb.edu~joep/bigbook.html
- G. Dixon, Division Algebras, Kluwer (1994); http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9902050; and web material at URL http://www.7stones.com/Homepage/AlgebraSite/algebra0.html

- [8] the $D_4 D_5 E_6 E_7$ physics model is described at URL http://www.innerx.net/personal/tsmith/d4d5e6hist.html
- [9] F. R. Harvey, Spinors and Calibrations, Academic Press (1990)